IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUIT NO. 3711 OF 2003

Darshana Mandar Phadke .. Plaintiff
v/s.
Pratibha Shankar Phadke
& Ors. .. Defendants

Mr. Narayan Samant for the Plaintiffs.
Mr. Himanshu Kane for Defendant no.3.
Mr. Rahul Kadam for Defendant nos.1, 2, 4 and 5.

CORAM: S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.

DATE : 5TH NOVEMBER, 2004.

P.C. :

1. The parties have settled the suit in terms of the consent terms tendered in court and the same are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. The Plaintiff no.2 is a minor girl aged about nine years. There is necessary certificate from the advocate stating that the consent terms are to the benefit of the minor and Plaintiff no.2 and in her interest. The reasons stated in the Certificate are satisfactory. The area of the property is only about 345 sq.ft. The consideration therefore appears to be fair

and reasonable. The share of the minor is calculated correctly. In the circumstances, the sale is for the benefit of Plaintiff no.2 and the consent terms may be entered into. Accordingly, order in terms of the consent terms marked 'X'. Refund as per rules. For the purpose of refund of Court fees the Prothonotary and Sr. Master to act on ordinary copy of this order duly authenticated by the Court Stenographer.

. All concerned to act on a copy of this order duly authenticated by the Office/Court Stenographer of this Court.

SD/-

****